

A normal subgroup H of a group G is said to be maximal if there exists no proper normal subgroup K of G such that $H < K < G$.

Theorem. A normal subgroup H of a group G is maximal iff $\frac{G}{H}$ is simple.

Proof. Suppose H be a normal subgroup of a group G .

"If part" Suppose H is maximal

To prove $\frac{G}{H}$ is simple

Suppose if possible $\frac{G}{H}$ is not simple

$\Rightarrow \frac{G}{H}$ has proper normal subgroups

Let $\frac{K}{H}$ be a proper normal subgroup of $\frac{G}{H}$

$\Rightarrow K \triangleleft G$ such that $H < K < G$ [$\because \frac{K}{H}$ is proper normal subgroup of $\frac{G}{H}$]

$H < K < G$ means H is not maximal in G

So we can a contradiction [because H is maximal in G we mean $\nexists$ no normal subgroups

$\Rightarrow \frac{G}{H}$ must be simple. [w/o $H \in G$.]

"Only if part" Suppose $\frac{G}{H}$ is simple

To prove H is maximal in G

Suppose if possible H is not maximal in G

$\Rightarrow \exists$ normal subgroup K such that $H < K < G$.

$\Rightarrow \frac{K}{H} \triangleleft \frac{G}{H}$ [i.e. $\frac{K}{H}$ is a proper normal subgroup of $\frac{G}{H}$]

$\Rightarrow \frac{G}{H}$ has proper normal subgroup namely $\frac{K}{H}$

$\Rightarrow \frac{G}{H}$ can not be simple, so we get a contradiction

$\Rightarrow H$ is maximal in G .

Composition Series [C.S.]

Let G be a group. Then a finite sequence of its subgroups

$$G = H_1, H_2, H_3, \dots, H_n = \{e\}$$

is called a composition series for G if except H_1

$H_2 \triangleleft_{\max} H_1$ i.e. H_2 is maximal normal subgroup of H_1

$H_3 \triangleleft_{\max} H_2$ i.e. H_3 is maximal normal subgroup of H_2

$H_4 \triangleleft_{\max} H_3$ i.e. H_4 is maximal normal subgroup of H_3

$\vdots$
 $H_i \triangleleft_{\max} H_{i-1}$, $i = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$.

[i.e. $H_n \triangleleft_{\max} H_{n-1}$] i.e. H_n is maximal normal subgroup of H_{n-1}

Note. The quotient groups namely

$\frac{H_1}{H_2}, \frac{H_2}{H_3}, \frac{H_3}{H_4}, \dots, \frac{H_{n-1}}{H_n}$ are called factor groups. OR composition quotient groups of C.S.

Example. Let $G = P_3 = \{I, (12), (13), (23), (123), (132)\}$

① be a group.

and let $H = \{I, (123), (132)\}$

then composition series of subgroups ~~are~~ is given by

$$G = H_1, H_2 = H = \{I, (123), (132)\}, H_3 = \{I\}$$

Here $H_2 \triangleleft_{\max} H_1$ and $H_3 \triangleleft_{\max} H_2$

i.e. H_2 is maximal normal subgroup of H_1

H_3 is maximal normal subgroup of H_2

Example

② Let G be a cyclic group of order 6 generated by $a \in G$.

(1) $G = H_1 = \langle a \rangle$, $H_2 = \{e, a^3\}$, $H_3 = \{e\}$
C.S. is given by.

(2) one more c.s. for G

$$G = \langle a \rangle = H_1, H_2 = \{e, a^2, a^4\}, H_3 = \{e\}$$

Here H_2 is maximal normal subgroup of H_1

H_3 is maximal normal subgroup of H_2

$$[i.e. H_1 \supset H_2 \supset H_3]$$

Example 03 Let G be a cyclic group generated by a , $a \in G$ such that $o(G) = 12$

then

First c.s.

$$G = H_1 = \langle a \rangle$$

$$H_2 = \langle a^2 \rangle$$

$$H_3 = \langle a^4 \rangle$$

$$H_4 = \{e\}$$

Second c.s.

$$G = H_1 = \langle a \rangle$$

$$H_2 = \langle a^3 \rangle$$

$$H_3 = \langle a^6 \rangle$$

$$H_4 = \{e\}$$

Theorem 1. There exist at least one composition series for every finite group.

Proof. Let G be a finite group

Two cases arise (1) G is simple (2) G is not simple

Case (1) G is simple $\Rightarrow G$ has two improper normal subgroups of G

$$\text{namely } G = H_1, H_2 = \{e\}$$

where H_2 is maximal normal subgroup of H_1

Case (2) G is not simple

$\Rightarrow$ there exists proper normal subgroup say H of G

(a) If H is maximal in G and $\{e\}$ is maximal in H then required composition series is given by

$$G, H, \{e\}$$

(b) Suppose H is not maximal in G and $\{e\}$ is maximal in H , H is not maximal in $G \Rightarrow \exists$ proper normal subgroup K such that $G \supset K \supset H$

if K is maximal in G , H is maximal in K then required composition series is

$$G, K, H, \{e\}$$

Pf-04

(4) Algebra, Dr. Subhakar Gupta

(c) Suppose H is maximal in G but $\{e\}$ is not maximal in H

Now,

$\{e\}$ is not maximal in $H \Rightarrow \exists$ proper normal subgroup K_1
such that $H \supset K_1 \supset \{e\}$

If K_1 is maximal in H and $\{e\}$ is maximal in K_1 ,
then required composition series is given by

$G, H, K_1, \{e\}$

(d) Suppose H is not maximal in G , $\{e\}$ is not maximal in H

Now,

H is not maximal in $G \Rightarrow \exists$ proper normal subgroup K_2 such that
 $G \supset K_2 \supset H$

$\{e\}$ is not maximal in $H \Rightarrow \exists$ proper normal subgroup K_3
such that $H \supset K_3 \supset \{e\}$

If K_2 is maximal in G , H is maximal in K_2 , K_3 is maximal in H
and $\{e\}$ is maximal in K_3 then the required
composition series is

$G, K_2, H, K_3, \{e\}$.

Since G is finite it means no. of subgroups of G
will be finite and ultimately we shall
reach to composition series. proved.

Theorem 2 Jordan-Holder Theorem

Let G be a finite group with two composition series

$$G, H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n = \{e\}$$

and $G, K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m = \{e\}$

Then $n = m$ and the two corresponding series of composition quotient groups namely

$$\frac{G}{H_1}, \frac{H_1}{H_2}, \frac{H_2}{H_3}, \dots, \frac{H_{n-1}}{H_n}$$

and $\frac{G}{K_1}, \frac{K_1}{K_2}, \frac{K_2}{K_3}, \dots, \frac{K_{m-1}}{K_m}$

are abstractly identical.

Proof. Suppose G be a finite group having two c.s. as

$$G, H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

and $G, K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m \quad \text{--- (2)}$

then to prove (1) $n = m$

(2) $\frac{G}{H_1}, \frac{H_1}{H_2}, \dots, \frac{H_{n-1}}{H_n}$ and $\frac{G}{K_1}, \frac{K_1}{K_2}, \dots, \frac{K_{m-1}}{K_m}$ are abstractly identical.

We shall prove the theorem by induction method.

Suppose the theorem is true for all groups whose order are less than $O(G)$. Then we shall prove

it is also true for G .

1) if $O(G) = 1$, theorem is obvious.

(2) if $O(G) \geq 2$.

Case I if $H_1 = K_1$ In this case after removing G

from (1) and (2), we get the remaining series as

$$H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

and $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m \quad \text{--- (4)}$

Pdt-04, (06) Dr. Sakshir Gupta
 Here $O(H_1) < O(G)$ [$\because H_1$ is a proper subgroup of G]

so theorem is true by our supposition

Since $\frac{G}{H_1} = \frac{G}{K_1}$ [$\because H_1 = K_1$]
 $\therefore$ corresponding corresponding quotient groups are equal.

Case 2, $H_1 \neq K_1$

We know that

$$\frac{H_1 K_1}{H_1} \cong \frac{K_1}{H_1 \cap K_1} \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$\text{and } \frac{H_1 K_1}{K_1} \cong \frac{H_1}{H_1 \cap K_1} \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

Here $H_1 K_1$ is a normal subgroup of G containing H_1

Since H_1 is maximal in G

$$\Rightarrow H_1 K_1 = G$$

$$\therefore (5) \cong (6) \Rightarrow \frac{G}{H_1} \cong \frac{K_1}{D}, \quad H_1 \cap K_1 = D; \text{ say } \quad \text{--- (7)}$$

$$\text{and } \frac{G}{K_1} \cong \frac{H_1}{D} \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

Now, H_1 is maximal in $G \Rightarrow \frac{G}{H_1}$ is simple

$$\Rightarrow \frac{K_1}{D} \text{ is simple } \left[\because \frac{G}{H_1} \cong \frac{K_1}{D} \right]$$

$\Rightarrow D$ is maximal in K_1

Similarly D is maximal in H_1

Now, consider C.S. for D

Let $D, D_1, D_2, \dots, D_t = \{e\}$ be a C.S. for D

then $G, H_1, D, D_1, D_2, \dots, D_t = \{e\}$ --- (9)

and $G, K_1, D, D_1, D_2, \dots, D_t = \{e\}$ --- (10)
 are two composition series for G .

Pdt-04 (07) Algebrae, Dr Satish Kr

Let us have composition quotient groups of (9) & (10)

$$\frac{G}{H_1}, \frac{H_1}{D}, \frac{D}{D_1}, \frac{D_1}{D_2}, \dots, \frac{D_{t-1}}{D_t} \quad \text{--- (11)}$$

$$\text{and } \frac{G}{K_1}, \frac{K_1}{D}, \frac{D}{D_1}, \frac{D_1}{D_2}, \dots, \frac{D_{t-1}}{D_t} \quad \text{--- (12)}$$

The quotient groups are equal in numbers

$$\text{and } \frac{G}{H_1} \cong \frac{K_1}{D}$$

$$\frac{G}{K_1} \cong \frac{H_1}{D}$$

$$\frac{D}{D_1} \cong \frac{D}{D_1}$$

$$\frac{D_1}{D_2} \cong \frac{D_1}{D_2}$$

$$\frac{D_{t-1}}{D_t} \cong \frac{D_{t-1}}{D_t} \text{ are each isomorphic separately.}$$

Now (1) and (9) are two c.s for G and each having H_1 in second place

$\Rightarrow$ by Case I, the quotient groups defined by (1) & (9) may be put into 1-1-correspondence so that corresponding quotient groups are isomorphic

Similarly (2) & (10) are two c.s for G and each having K_1 in second place

& by Case II, the quotient groups defined by (2) & (10) may be put into one-one correspondence so that corresponding quotient groups are isomorphic

Hence $\frac{G}{H_1}, \frac{H_1}{H_2}, \dots, \frac{H_{n-1}}{H_n}$ and $\frac{G}{K_1}, \frac{K_1}{K_2}, \dots, \frac{K_{m-1}}{K_m}$ are equal.

Pd1-04,

(08) Algebra, Dr Sahsh Kr Gupta

Solvable groups

A group G is said to be solvable if we can find a finite chain of subgroups

$$G = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset N_2 \supset \dots \supset N_k = \{e\}$$

such that

(1) Each $N_i \triangleleft N_{i-1}$, $i=1, 2, \dots, k$.

(2) Each quotient gp $\frac{N_{i-1}}{N_i}$ is abelian, $i=1, 2, \dots, k$.

Note 1) Each $N_i \triangleleft N_{i-1} \Rightarrow N_1 \triangleleft N_0, N_2 \triangleleft N_1, N_3 \triangleleft N_2, \dots, N_k \triangleleft N_{k-1}$

(2) Each quotient gp is $\frac{N_i}{N_{i-1}}$ is abelian we mean

$$\text{Each } \frac{N_0}{N_1}, \frac{N_1}{N_2}, \frac{N_2}{N_3}, \dots, \frac{N_{k-1}}{N_k} \text{ is abelian}$$

Ex. (1) Show every abelian group is solvable

Sol. Let G be an abelian group

Then we have solvable series for G as

$$G = N_0 \text{ and } N_1 = \{e\}$$

$$\text{Clearly } N_1 \triangleleft N_0 \quad \left[\because x \in \frac{N_1}{N_1} \in N_1 \right]$$

$$\text{and } \frac{N_0}{N_1} \text{ is abelian } \left\{ \frac{N_0}{N_1} = \{N_1, x, \forall x \in N_0\} \right. \\ \left. = N_0 \text{ (since } N_1 = \{e\} \text{ and } ex = x) \right\}$$

Ex (2). Show that symmetric group P_3 of degree 3 is solvable.

$$\text{Sol. Let } P_3 = \{I, (12), (13), (23), (123), (132)\}$$

Let $A_3 = \{I, (123), (132)\}$ be alternating group

Then solvable series for P_3 is

$$P_3 = N_0, \quad N_1 = A_3, \quad N_2 = \{e\} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} N_1 \triangleleft N_0 \\ N_2 \triangleleft N_1 \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{Also } \frac{N_0}{N_1} \text{ is abelian, } \frac{N_1}{N_2} \text{ is abelian } \frac{N_1}{N_2} = \{N_2, x, \forall x \in A_3\} \\ = A_3 \text{ as } N_2 = \{e\}$$

So, P_3 is solvable.

(3) if $S = (1, 2, 3, 4)$ then P_4 is solvable

if solvable series is

$$P_4 = N_0, \quad N_1 = A_4, \quad N_2 = V_4 = \{I, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}, \\ N_3 = \{e\}$$

$$\Rightarrow N_1 \triangleleft N_0, N_2 \triangleleft N_1, N_3 \triangleleft N_2$$

$$\text{2. } \frac{N_0}{N_1}, \frac{N_1}{N_2}, \frac{N_2}{N_3}, \text{ each is abelian.}$$

Pdf-04

09 Algebra, Dr Sahni Kr Gupta

Prove that a subgroup of a solvable group is solvable.

Proof. Suppose H be any subgroup of a solvable group G .

To prove H is solvable
It is given G is solvable $\Rightarrow \exists$ solvable series namely

$$G = G_0 \supseteq G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq G_3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_{n-1} \supseteq G_n = \{e\}.$$

We claim that

$$H = H_0 \supseteq (H \cap G_1) \supseteq (H \cap G_2) \supseteq (H \cap G_3) \supseteq \dots \supseteq (H \cap G_n) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Let $H_i = H \cap G_i$ then

$$(1) \Rightarrow H = H_0 \supseteq H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq H_3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq H_n = \{e\}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Clearly } H_1 \triangleleft H_0 \quad [\because H_0 = H, H_1 = H \cap G_1 \text{ and } G_1 \triangleleft G] \\ H_2 \triangleleft H_1 \quad [H_2 = H \cap G_2, H_1 = H \cap G_1] \\ \vdots \\ H_n \triangleleft H_{n-1} \quad [H_n = H \cap G_n, H_{n-1} = H \cap G_{n-1}] \end{array}$$

To prove each

$$\frac{H_0}{H_1}, \frac{H_1}{H_2}, \frac{H_2}{H_3}, \dots, \frac{H_{n-1}}{H_n} \text{ is abelian}$$

Define a mapping

$$\phi: H_i \longrightarrow \frac{G_i}{G_{i+1}}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (n-1).$$

$$\phi(x) = x G_{i+1}, \quad \forall x \in H_i$$

Here $x \in H_i \Rightarrow x \in G_i$
as $H_i \subseteq G_i$

Clearly ϕ is well defined

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(xy) &= xy G_{i+1} \\ &= x G_{i+1} y G_{i+1} \quad (\because \text{Here } a b H = a H b H \text{ for } a, b \in G, H \subseteq G) \\ &= \phi(x) \phi(y) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \phi$ is homomorphism $\Rightarrow \frac{G_i}{G_{i+1}}$ is homomorphic image of H_i .

To find Kernel of ϕ

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } x \in \text{Kernel of } \phi &\Leftrightarrow \phi(x) = G_{i+1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x G_{i+1} = G_{i+1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in G_{i+1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in H \cap G_{i+1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in H_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

Pdt-04

(10) Algebra, Dr. Sahen Kr Gupta

$$x \in \text{Kernel of } \phi \Leftrightarrow x \in H_{i+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Kernel of } \phi = H_{i+1}$$

So by first fundamental theorem on groups, we have

$$\frac{H_i}{H_{i+1}} \cong \frac{G_i}{G_{i+1}}$$

$\Rightarrow$ Each $\frac{H_i}{H_{i+1}}$ is abelian as each $\frac{G_i}{G_{i+1}}$ is abelian

Hence H is solvable

Ex sf Let H be a ^{normal} $\mathcal{L}$ -subgroup of a group G such that both H and $\frac{G}{H}$ are solvable, prove G is solvable.

Solution. ~~sf~~ Let H be a normal-subgroup of a group G

Let H is solvable

$\frac{G}{H}$ is solvable

To prove G is solvable

$\frac{G}{H}$ is solvable $\Rightarrow \exists$ -solvable series namely

$$\frac{G}{H} = \frac{G_0}{H} \supseteq \frac{G_1}{H} \supseteq \frac{G_2}{H} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \frac{G_m}{H} = \{N\}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{where } \frac{G_1}{H} \supset \frac{G_0}{H} \Rightarrow G_1 \supset G_0 \text{ containing } H \\ \frac{G_2}{H} \supset \frac{G_1}{H} \Rightarrow G_2 \supset G_1 \text{ containing } H \\ \vdots \\ \frac{G_m}{H} \supset \frac{G_{m-1}}{H} \Rightarrow G_m \supset G_{m-1} \text{ containing } H \end{array} \right\} \text{--- (1)}$$

and each G_i

$$(1) \Rightarrow \frac{G_{i+1}}{H} \supset \frac{G_i}{H}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (m-1), \Rightarrow G_{i+1} \supset G_i \text{ st } G_0 \supset H$$

$$\text{Also } \frac{\frac{G_i}{H}}{\frac{G_{i+1}}{H}} \cong \frac{G_i}{G_{i+1}}$$

$$\left[\because \frac{\frac{G}{H}}{\frac{K}{H}} \cong \frac{G}{K} \right]$$

It is given $\frac{G}{N}$ is solvable

$\Rightarrow$ Each $\frac{G_i}{\frac{G_{i+1}}{N}}$ is solvable because each subgroup of a solvable group is solvable

Each $\frac{G_i}{G_{i+1}}$ is ~~solvable~~ abelian $\left[\because \frac{G_i}{\frac{G_{i+1}}{N}} = \frac{G_i}{G_{i+1}} \right]$
— (2)

Also

$$\frac{G_m}{N} = \{ Ne \mid e \in G_m \}$$

$$\frac{G_m}{N} = N \quad [\because G_m = \{ e \}]$$

It is also given that N is solvable $\Rightarrow \exists$ solvable series namely

$$N = N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_t = \{ e \}$$

Now, we can write

$$G = G_0 \supseteq G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_{m-1} \supseteq N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_t = \{ e \}$$

which is a solvable series for G by eqns (1) & (2)

$\Rightarrow G$ is solvable.

Commutator subgroup of a group

Let G be a group and $a, b \in G$ then the element $(ab\bar{a}\bar{b})$ is called the commutator of (a, b)

$$\text{Let } U = \{ ab\bar{a}\bar{b} \mid a, b \in G \}$$

If G' is subgroup of G generated by U

i.e. if $G' = (U)$, then G' is called commutator subgroup of G

$$\text{i.e. } G' = \{ ab\bar{a}\bar{b} \mid a, b \in G \}$$

Note: We can also write

$$U = \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}(a)(b) \mid a, b \in G \}$$

$$U = \{ \bar{a}\bar{b}ab \mid a, b \in G \}$$

Theorem 1. Let G' be the commutator subgroup of a group G . Then G is abelian if and only if $G' = \{e\}$, e being the identity element of G .

Proof. Let G' be the commutator subgroup of a group G

$$\rightarrow \text{then } G' = \{aba^{-1}b^{-1} \mid a, b \in G\}$$

"if part" Suppose G is abelian

$$\rightarrow \text{To prove } G' = \{e\}$$

Let $a, b \in G$ then

$$\begin{aligned} aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in G' &\Rightarrow (aba^{-1}b^{-1}) = a(ba^{-1})b^{-1} \quad (\text{Associativity}) \\ &= a(a^{-1}b)b^{-1} \quad [G \text{ is abelian}] \\ &= (aa^{-1})(bb^{-1}) \quad (\text{Associativity}) \\ &= e \cdot e \\ &= e \end{aligned}$$

"only if part" Suppose $G' = \{e\}$

$\rightarrow$ To prove G is abelian

$$\text{Let } aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in G' \Rightarrow aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in \{e\}$$

$$\Rightarrow aba^{-1}b^{-1} = \{e\} \quad [! G' = \{e\}]$$

$$\Rightarrow ab = ba$$

$\Rightarrow G$ is abelian.

Theorem 2. Let G be a group. Let G' be the commutator subgroup of G then

(1) G' is normal in G (2) $\frac{G}{G'}$ is Abelian

(3) If $H \triangleleft G$ then $\frac{G}{H}$ is abelian iff $G' \subseteq H$

(4) If H is a subgroup of G such that $G' \subseteq H$, then H is a normal subgroup of G .

Proof. Let G' be the commutator subgroup of G

(1) To prove $G' \triangleleft G$

$$\text{Let } x \in G, c \in G'$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } xc\tilde{x} &= xc\tilde{x}c^{-1} \cdot c \in G' \quad [! xc\tilde{x}c^{-1} \in G', c \in G'] \\ &\Rightarrow xc\tilde{x}c^{-1} \cdot c \in G' \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow xc\tilde{x} \in G' \Rightarrow G' \triangleleft G.$$

(2) To prove $\frac{G}{G'}$ is abelian

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } ab\bar{a}\bar{b} &\in G' \Rightarrow ab(ba)^{-1} \in G' \\ &\Rightarrow a'ab = a'ba \quad [\because Ha = Hb \Rightarrow \bar{a}\bar{b} \in H] \\ &\Rightarrow a'a a'b = a'b a'a \quad [G' \trianglelefteq G] \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{G}{G'} \text{ is abelian} \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $N \trianglelefteq G$ then $\frac{G}{N}$ is abelian iff $G' \subseteq N$

Suppose $N \trianglelefteq G$
 If part "I". Suppose $\frac{G}{N}$ is abelian

$$\begin{aligned} \text{To prove } G' &\subseteq N \\ \text{It is given } \frac{G}{N} &\text{ is abelian} \Rightarrow NaNb = NbNa \quad [\text{where } a, b \in G] \\ &\Rightarrow Nab = Nba \quad [N \trianglelefteq G] \\ &\Rightarrow ab(ba)^{-1} \in N \\ &\Rightarrow ab\bar{a}\bar{b} \in N \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } ab\bar{a}\bar{b} &\in G' \Rightarrow ab\bar{a}\bar{b} \in N \\ &\Rightarrow G' \subseteq N. \end{aligned}$$

"Only if part"

Suppose $G' \subseteq N$
 To prove $\frac{G}{N}$ is abelian

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } ab\bar{a}\bar{b} &\in G' \Rightarrow ab\bar{a}\bar{b} \in N \quad [G' \subseteq N] \\ &\Rightarrow ab(ba)^{-1} \in N \\ &\Rightarrow Nab = Nba \\ &\Rightarrow NaNb = NbNa \quad [N \trianglelefteq G] \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{G}{N} \text{ is abelian} \end{aligned}$$

(4) If H is a subgroup of G such that $G' \subseteq H$

To prove $H \trianglelefteq G$

Let $x \in G, h \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} xhx^{-1} &= \underline{xh\underline{x^{-1}h}x} \in H \quad [\because xh\underline{x^{-1}h}x \in G' \\ &\Rightarrow xh\underline{x^{-1}h}x \in H (G' \subseteq H) \\ &\quad \text{also } h \in H \\ &\Rightarrow xh\underline{x^{-1}h}x \in H] \\ &\Rightarrow xhx^{-1} \in H \\ &\Rightarrow H \trianglelefteq G. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 03. A group G is solvable iff $G^{(k)} = \{e\}$ for some integer k .

Proof. Let G be a group

"if part" Suppose $G^{(k)} = \{e\}$
To prove G is solvable

Given $G^{(k)} = \{e\}$

Let $G = N_0, N_1 = G', N_2 = G^{(2)}, N_3 = G^{(3)}, \dots, N_R = G^{(k)} = \{e\}$

$$\Rightarrow G = N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq N_3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_{k-1} \supseteq N_R = \{e\} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Let us claim that (1) is solvable series for G .

We $N_1 \triangleleft N_0$ because $G' \triangleleft G$ [Here $G' = N_1$ and $N_0 = G$]

$N_2 \triangleleft N_1$ because $G^{(2)} \triangleleft G'$ [Here $N_2 = G^{(2)}$ and $N_1 = G'$ and we know $G^{(2)} \triangleleft G'$]

$\vdots$
 $N_k \triangleleft N_{k-1}$ because $G^{(k)} \triangleleft G^{(k-1)}$ [Here $G^{(k)} = N_k$ and $G^{(k-1)} = N_{k-1}$]

Also $\frac{N_0}{N_1}$ is abelian as $\frac{G}{G'}$ is abelian

$\frac{N_1}{N_2}$ is abelian as $\frac{G'}{G^{(2)}}$ is abelian ($G^{(2)} = (G')'$)

$\vdots$
 $\frac{N_{k-1}}{N_k}$ is abelian as $\frac{G^{(k-1)}}{G^{(k)}} = \frac{G^{(k-1)}}{G^{(k-1)'}}$ is abelian

Therefore, G is solvable

"Only if part" Suppose G is solvable

To prove $G^{(k)} = \{e\}$

Given G is solvable $\Rightarrow G$ has solvable series as

$$G = N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_{k-1} \supseteq N_k = \{e\}$$

where Each $N_i \triangleleft N_{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

and Each $\frac{N_{i-1}}{N_i}$ is abelian --- (1)

We know that if $N \triangleleft G$ then $\frac{G}{N}$ is abelian $\Rightarrow G' \subseteq N$

$\therefore$ (1) $\Rightarrow$ Each $N_{i-1}' \subseteq N_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

$$\Rightarrow N_1 \supseteq N_0' = G'$$

$$N_2 \supseteq N_1' = G^{(2)}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$N_k \supseteq N_{k-1}' = G^{(k)}$$

$$\{ \because N_1 = G^{(1)} \Rightarrow N_1' = G^{(2)} \}$$

$$\Rightarrow G^{(k)} \subseteq N_k \Rightarrow G^{(k)} \subseteq \{e\} \quad \{ \because N_k = \{e\} \}$$

$$\Rightarrow G^{(k)} = \{e\}, \text{ proved.}$$